THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.S.NARAYANA

Writ Petition No.20313, 20314, 20315, 20316, 20317, 20318, 20319 and 20321 of 2007

Date: 27th September, 2007

Between :-

W.P.No.20313 of 2007

Dalavai Pradeep Kumar and another ..
Petitioners

And

The Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, Tirupathi, Chittoor District and 3 others ..
Respondents

W.P.No.20314 of 2007

S.Ammaji ..Petitioners

And

The Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, Tirupathi, Chittoor District and 3 others ..
Respondents

W.P.No.20315 of 2007

Manukonda Sambaiah

..Petitioners

And

The Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, Tirupathi, Chittoor District and 3 others ..
Respondents

W.P.No.20316 of 2007

Nallabothula Nageswara Rao

..Petitioners

And

The Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, Tirupathi, Chittoor District and 3 others .. Respondents

W.P.No.20317 of 2007

Pendli Parvathi Devi ..Petitioners

And

The Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, Tirupathi, Chittoor District and 3 others .. Respondents

W.P.No.20318 of 2007

Chigulla Sarojini
..Petitioners

And

The Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, Tirupathi, Chittoor District and 3 others .. Respondents

W.P.No.20319 of 2007

R. Anjana Rao ..Petitioners

And

The Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, Tirupathi, Chittoor District and 3 others .. Respondents

W.P.No.20321 of 2007

Goddanti Bhagya Lakshmi
..Petitioners

And

And
The Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, Tirupathi, Chittoor District and 3 others ..
Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.S.NARAYANA

Writ Petition No.20313, 20314, 20315, 20316, 20317, 20318, 20319 and 20321 of 2007

COMMON ORDER:-

These Writ Petitions are filed being aggrieved of the non-passing of any interim orders when the revisions are pending before the Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, Tirupathi, Chittoor District – the 1st respondent in these Writ Petitions.

The facts, as narrated in the affidavits filed in support of the respective Writ Petitions, almost appear to be common except that these revisions were filed as against the orders made in different O.As. Several facts had been narrated in paras 2 to 5 of the affidavits filed in support of these Writ Petitions.

This Court is not inclined to express any opinion relating to the merits and demerits of the matter, suffice to

state that the revisions preferred by the respective petitioners are pending before the 1st respondent - the Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, Tirupathi, Chittoor District. The main grievance ventilated is that though the revisions are pending, no interim orders had been granted and there is a threat of dispossession in the meanwhile.

In the light of the facts and circumstances, let the 1st respondent - the Regional Joint Commissioner of Endowments, Tirupathi, Chittoor District, dispose of the revisions pending before him of the respective writ petitioners within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of this order. Till then *status quo* as on today to be maintained. However, it is made clear that the writ petitioners not to change or alter the physical features in the meanwhile.

Accordingly, the Writ Petitions are disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

Justice P.S.Narayana

27th September, 2007

smr